Message Text

PAGE 01 MOSCOW 15727 180816Z

14

ACTION ACDA-1*

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SPC-03 SS-20

USIA-15 SAJ-01 SCI-06 DRC-01 NIC-01 IO-14 /145 W

R 180714Z DEC 73
FM AMEMBASSY MOSCOW
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 4696
INFO USMISSION NATO
DIA WASHDC
AMCONSUL LENINGRAD BY POUCH

CONFIDENTIAL MOSCOW 15727

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, PARM, UR, US

SUBJECT: USA MAGAZINE DISCUSSES SALT

REF: MOSCOW 11680

1. SUMMARY: IMPORTANT ARTICLE ON SALT OUTLINES
DEVELOPMENTS OF PAST YEAR AND MAJOR ISSUES FACED IN
SALT TWO. WIDE RANGE OF OPINION IN U.S. IS DESCRIBED,
WITH CRITICISM OF THOSE WHO ALLEGEDLY SEEK NUCLEAR
SUPERIORITY. IF ONE ACCEPTS THESIS THAT SOVIETS
DEBATE ARMS ISSUES THROUGH "VENTRILOQUY" USE OF U.S.
ARGUMENTS, ARTICLE IS OF INTEREST FOR ITS EMPHASIS ON
QUALITATIVE LIMITATIONS, ESPECIALLY WITH RESPECT TO
MIRV. THERE MAY ALSO BE SIGNIFICANCE IN QUOTATION

OF BREZHNEV'S REPRIMAND IN SEPTEMBER FOR ADVOCATES OF "ALL-OR-NOTHING" APPROACH TO DISARMAMENT. END SUMMARY.

2. DECEMBER ISSUE OF USA MAGAZINE (SIGNED TO PRESS NOV. 19) LEADS WITH MAJOR ARTICLE ON SALT BY USA INSTITUTE STRATEGIC SPECIALISTS M.A. MIL'SHTEYN AND L.S. SEMEYKO. ARTICLE CONSTITUTES A BRIEF IN SUPPORT CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 MOSCOW 15727 180816Z

OF SALT, AIMED AS MUCH AT SOVIET AUDIENCE AS AT THE NEGOTIATIONS THEMSELVES. IT DELINEATES CAREFULLY VARIOUS OPINIONS IN U.S., RESERVING MOST OF ITS CRITICISM FOR "CERTAIN CIRCLES IN U.S. -- MAINLY MILITARY." IT

OFFERS CAREFULLY-DRAWN ADVOCACY OF EARLY AGREEMENT ON OFFENSIVE STRATEGIC WEAPONS IN SALT TWO, HINTING AT FOOT-DRAGGING AMONG CERTAIN SOVIET CIRCLES THROUGH DEVICE OF QUOTING BREZHNEV'S SLAP AT "ALL-OR-NOTHING" APPROACH IN TASHKENT IN SEPTEMBER (REFTEL).

3. ARTICLE SURVEYS (A) DEVELOPMENTS IN SALT SINCE 1972; (B) NATURE OF PROBLEMS FACED IN SALT TWO; AND (C) CURRENT U.S. ATTITUDES. SPECIFIC POINTS OF INTEREST ARE AS FOLLOWS:

A. INTERIM OFFENSIVE AGREEMENT DID NOT BLOCK ALL AVENUES OF STRATEGIC ARMS RACE. IT PERMITTED QUALITATIVE IMPROVEMENTS, SUCH AS MULTIPLE WARHEAD ACCURACY, RELIABILITY AND DEFENSIBILITY, AND DEVELOPMENT OF NEW SYSTEMS. HENCE JUNE 1973 AGREEMENT TO FOCUS ON QUALITATIVE AS WELL AS QUANTITATIVE LIMITATIONS WAS MAJOR STEP FORWARD. REAFFIRMATION OF EQUAL SECURITY PRINCIPLE WAS VALUABLE, AS WAS CONFIRMATION OF RELIANCE ON NATIONAL MEANS OF VERIFICATION. PARTICULARLY SIGNIFICANT WAS DECISION TO SEEK OFFENSIVE AGREEMENT IN 1974, WHICH PULLED RUG FROM BENETH U.S. "HAWKS" WHO TRUMPETED THEIR CONCERNS ABOUT SOVIET ACHIEVEMENT OF FIRST-STRIKE CAPABILITY BY END OF FIVE-YEAR PERIOD COVERED IN INTERIM OFFENSIVE AGREEMENT. ARTICLE MENTIONS BUT DOES NOT DEVELOP POSSIBILITY OF PARTIAL AGREEMENTS CONTAINED IN JUNE 1973 BASIC PRICIPLES DOCUMENT.

B. PROBLEMS OF CURRENT SALT PHASE ARE POLITICAL -- CERTAIN
PEOPLE IN U.S. OPPOSE ANY STRATEGIC ARMS LIMITATION
AGREEMENT -- AND MILITARY/TECHNICAL. LATTER
CATEGORY BIOLS DOWN TO ASYMMETRY (BOTH HARDWARE AND GEOGRAPHIC)
AND DIFFICULTY OF MATCHING QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITIATIVE
LIMITATIONS. HARDWARE ASYMMETRY IS EXPLAINED BY "ACTIONREACTION" SYNDROME, WHILE GEOGRAPHIC ASYMMETRY IS ASCRIBED
TO COLD WAR DEVELOPMENT OF U.S. FORWARD-BASED SYSTEMS, ESPECIALLY
AIRCRAFT. IN DISCUSSING QUANTITATIVE LIMITATIONS ARTICLE URGES
INCLUSION OF ALL STRATEGIC COMPONENTS, INCLUDING AIRCRAFT.
ON QUANTIATIVE SIDE, ARTICLE DRAWS ON U.S. SOURCES TO
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 MOSCOW 15727 180816Z

EMPHASIZE IMPORTANCE OF LIMITING MIRV. IT EXPRESSES SKEPTICISM THAT MIRV IS ONLY SECOND-STRIKE DETERRENT, AND WARMS THAT UNLIMITED DEVELOPMENT OF MIRV HARBORS DANGER OF SCUTTLING MILITARY DETENTE IN U.S.-SOVIET ELATIONS. MIRVING MISSILES MUST BE REGARDED ALL THE MORE SERIOUSLY IN THE LIGHT OF ABM LIMITATIONS, SINCE THE ABM TREATY REMOVED THE NEED TO PENETRATE THICK DEFENSES.

C. ARTICLE TAKES THE SENATE AS THE MICROCOSM OF CURRENT U.S. ATTITUDES ON SALT. REVIEWING LEGISLATIVE HISTORY OF INTERIM OFFENSIVE AGREEMENT AND SUBSEQUENT EVENTS, IT DIVIDES SENATE INTO RIGHT, MIDDLE-OF-ROAD AND MODERATE WINGS. RIGHT WING INCLUDES SENATORS JACKSON, GOLDWATER, BYRD, THURMOND

AND BUCKLEY; IT INSISTS ON NUMERICAL EQUALITY AS ONLY MEASURE OF STRATEGIC PARITY. WORSE STILL, IT HAS FORCED DEVELOPMENT OF B-1 AND TRIDENT AS CONDITION OF APPROVING INTERIM AGREEMENT, WHICH IS "TANTAMOUNT TO ENSURING AMERICAN NUCLEAR SUPERIORITY." MODERATE WING SUPPORTS THESIS OF ASSYMETRICAL EQUALITY AND INCLUDES SENATORS MANSFIELD, FULBRIGHT, KENNEDY, PROXMIRE AND MUSKIE. MIDDLE-OF-ROADERS SUPPORTED COMPROMISE BETWEEN TWO WINGS IN APPROVING INTERIM AGREEMENT WITH PROVISO THAT PERMANENT AGREEMENT SHOULD SEEK ICBM "LEVELS" NOT INFERIOR TO THOSE OF USSR, THEREBY PROVIDING SOME DISCRETION TO NEGOTIATORS. CHARGING THAT QUEST FOR UNILATERAL ADVANTAGE FUELS PENTAGON DEMANDS FOR NEW SYSTEMS, ARTICLE APPROVINGLY QUOTES NEW REPUBLIC SUGGESTION THAT U.S. SHOULD SLOW OR HALT B-1 AND TRIDENT PROGRAMS TO SHOW ITS DEVOTION TO EQUAL SECRUITY.

- 4. THROUGHOUT ARTICLE THERE ARE DIRECT OR IMPLIED REFERENCES
 TO THREE MAJOR FACTORS WHICH MAKE AN OFFENSIVE WEAPONS
 TREATY DESIRABLE AND URGENT. FIRST IS THE NEED TO ENSURE
 THAT MILITARY DETENTE ACCOMPANIES POLITICAL DETENTE, AND TO ANCHOR
 U.S.-SOVIET RELATIONS IN STABLE STRATEGIC BALANCE. SECOND IS
 THE NEED, FELT STRONGLY BY THE SOVIETS, TO CONVINCE LESSER
 POWERS THAT U.S.-SOVIET DETENTE IS IN THEIR INTERESTS, THAT REAL
 PROGRESS IS BEING MADE TOWARD REDUCING RISK OF WAR. FINALLY,
 THERE ARE SEVERAL REFERENCES TO ECONOMIC BENEFITS THAT WOULD
 FLOW FROM RESOURCES DIVERSION MADE POSSIBLE BY LIMITATION AND
 REDUCTION OF OFFENSIVE ARMS.
- 5. COMMENT: EMPHASIS ON B-1 AND TRIDENT IS NOT NEW, ALTHOUGH CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 MOSCOW 15727 180816Z

CHARGES THAT SOME ELEMENTS IN U.S. SEEK SUPERIORITY IS MORE
POINTED THAN WE HAVE SEEN RECENTLY. DISCUSSION OF MIRV AND
CORRELATION BETWEEN QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE LIMITATIONS
COULD BE SEEN IN CONTEXT OF POSSIBLE INTERNAL DEBATE IN USSR,
SINCE ONLY PUBLIC MEANS AVAILABLE TO PROTAGONISTS IN SUCH DEBATE OFTEN

IS SELECTIVE CITATION OF U.S. SOURCES. IF SO, AUTHORS APPEAR TO BE ON THE SIDE OF GIVING MUCH WEIGHT TO MIRVA AND QUALITATIVE FACTOR IN GENERAL.

6. DAO, WHICH IS FORWARDING ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS OF ARTICLE IN SEPARATE MESSAGE, IS NOT PERSUADED THAT REFLECTION OF SOVIET INTERNAL DEBATE AS OUTLINED ABOVE CAN BE ADDUCED FROM ARTICLE'S CITATION OF BREZHNEV "ALL-OR-NOTHING" COMMENT NOR FROM ITS PARTICULAR MIX OF ARGUMENTATION FROM US. SOURCES. MOREOVER, DAO BELIEVES THERE IS DOUBT CAST ON AUTHORS' ACCEPTANCE OF BASIC SALT PREMISE OF "MUTUALLY ASSURED DESTRUCTION", WHEREAS EMBASSY BELIEVES THIS CONCEPT IS NOT CALLED INTO QUESTION.SOMMERLATTE

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 10 MAY 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: FOREIGN RELATIONS, DETENTE, SPEECHES, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION, BOUNDARY CLAIMS, NONAGGRESSION

AGREEMENTS, SALT (ARMS CONTROL)

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 18 DEC 1973 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note:

Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: willialc
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973MOSCOW15727
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00

Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a

Executive Order: 11652 GDS

Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: MOSCOW

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19731256/abqcegbj.tel

Line Count: 162 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE Office: ACTION ACDA

Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators:

Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: MOSCOW 11680 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED

Review Authority: willialc Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 23 AUG 2001 Review Event: Review Exemptions: n/a

Review History: RELEASED <23-Aug-2001 by elyme>; APPROVED <15-Oct-2001 by willialc>

Review Markings: Declassified/Released

US Department of State EO Systematic Review

30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: USA MAGAZINE DISCUSSES SALT TAGS: PFOR, PARM, UR, US, CH

To: STATE INFO NATO DIA LENINGRAD BY POUCH

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005